

CNEPS: A Precise Approach for Examining Dependencies among Third-Party C/C++ Open-Source Components

Yoonjong Na, Seunghoon Woo Joomyeong Lee, Heejo Lee

Korea University

nooryyaa@korea.ac.kr

Apr. 19, 2024

- Open-source software (OSS) reuse became popular in development
- We will briefly call reused software as **component** in this presentation

- Open-source software (OSS) reuse became popular in development
- **Dependencies** refer to a relationship where a component **requires** another component

- Open-source software (OSS) reuse became popular in development
- **Dependencies** refer to a relationship where a component **requires** another component
- Tracking components dependencies also became important because...

What is software dependency?

- Open-source software (OSS) reuse became popular in development
- **Dependencies** refer to a relationship where a component **requires** another component
- Tracking components dependencies also became important because...

(1) Dependency can be used for security threats management by exploitability triage(2) Precise dependency can be used to provide supply chain transparency

- Open-source software (OSS) reuse became popular in development
- **Dependencies** refer to a relationship where a software requires other **reused** software
- Tracking component dependencies also became important because of...
 - (1) Dependency can be used for **security threats management** by exploitability triage
 - (2) Precise dependency can be used to provide supply chain transparency



Why it is difficult?

• Package manager provides useful meta-data

| aranyour | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| i plu | uma4345 and KVS85 [devel] Update JS dependencies (#190 | <pre>"dependencies": { "accepts": "^1.3.8",</pre> | | | | | |
| Code | Blame 50 lines (50 loc) · 1 17 KB | "ansi-html-community": "^0.0.8", | | | | | |
| 1 | { | | | | | | |
| 2 | "dependencies": { | "babel-code-frame": "^6.26.0", | | | | | |
| 3 | "accepts": "^1.3.8", | "chai": "^3.5.0", | | | | | |
| 4 | "ajv": "^8.12.0", | | | | | | |
| 5 | "ansi-html-community": "^0.0.8", | "content-disposition": ""0.5.4", | | | | | |
| 6 | "aqb": "^2.1.0", | <pre>"content-type": "^1.0.5",</pre> | | | | | |
| 7 | "babel-code-frame": "^6.26.0", | UdodoptU, UAO 7 OU | | | | | |
| 8 | "chai": "^3.5.0", | dedent: 0.7.0, | | | | | |
| 9 | <pre>"content-disposition": "^0.5.4",</pre> | "error-stack-parser": "^2.1.4", | | | | | |
| 10 | <pre>"content-type": "^1.0.5",</pre> | | | | | | |
| 11 | "dedent": "^0.7.0", | | | | | | |
| 12 | "error-stack-parser": "^2.1.4", | | | | | | |

arangodb / is / node / package.ison

Why it is difficult?

- Package manager provides useful meta-data
- What if **meta-data** does not exist?
- Developers sometimes get components by code-clone (copy-paste)

| angodb |)/ js / node / package.json | | | | | | |
|--------|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | <pre>"dependencies": {</pre> | | | | | |
|)) plu | ma4345 and KVS85 [devel] Update JS dependencies (# | "accepts": "^1.3.8", | | | | | |
| Code | Blame 50 lines (50 loc) · 1 17 KB | "ansi-html-community": "^0.0.8", | | | | | |
| 1 | { | "aqb": "^2.1.0", | | | | | |
| 2 | "dependencies": { | "babel-code-frame": "^6.26.0", | | | | | |
| 3 | "accepts": "^1.3.8", | "chai": "^3.5.0". | | | | | |
| 4 | "ajv": "^8.12.0", | "content-disposition": "^0.5.4" | | | | | |
| 5 | "ansi-html-community": "^0.0.8", | | | | | | |
| 6 | "aqb": "^2.1.0", | "content-type": "^1.0.5". | | | | | |
| 7 | "babel-code-frame": "^6.26.0", | lidedentilis IIAA 7 All | | | | | |
| 8 | "chai": "^3.5.0", | "dedent": ""0./.0", | | | | | |
| 9 | <pre>"content-disposition": "^0.5.4",</pre> | "error-stack-parser": "^2.1.4", | | | | | |
| 10 | "content-type": "^1.0.5", | | | | | | |
| 11 | "dedent": "^0.7.0", | F | | | | | |
| 12 | "error-stack-parser": "^2.1.4", | | | | | | |

• There are two ways to reuse components with the code-clone method

1) Developer can clone function directly into developers' file



2) Developer can clone part of components and reuse them as a library



Challenge 1: Indistinguishable files

- Files that are unclear whether reused or not
- Unidentified reused files may lead to unidentified dependencies
 - e.g., single-lined function, implementation of the cryptographic function



Challenge 2: Duplicated component

- The same component can be cloned in the target software **multiple times**
- Incorrectly distinguished components may lead to misidentified dependencies



CNEPS: A Precise Approach for Examining Dependencies among Third-Party C/C++ Open-Source Components

CNEPS

CNEPS (<u>Component Dep</u>endencies <u>S</u>canner)

• A novel approach to precisely identify dependencies between components

Background: Notations



Key idea: Module granularity dependency analysis

- Module can also explained as a set of files that are reusable as a library
- To reuse a component as a library, these files need to be cloned together



Reused Module

Overview of CNEPS

- CNEPS comprises three phases:
- **1. Module Construction**
- Constructs modules for given input source code software

2. Dependency Analysis

• Analyzes dependencies using the module

3. Merging Components

• Merges components that are non-duplicates

Module Constructions

• Parses all functions declarations and definitions to reconstruct modules



P2. Dependency Analysis

• Analyze dependencies using modules

(1) Reused by function cloning (code reuse)

• Examine other components (function) included in the module



(2) Reused by cloning and reused as a library (library reuse)

• Examine components that try to reuse other module (#include directives)



Dependency analysis with other granularities

• Missing dependency can be caused by indistinguishable files



Dependency analysis with other granularities

• Missing dependency can be caused by indistinguishable files



P2. Dependency Analysis (cont.)

Module Granularity

• We do not miss dependencies from **reused indistinguishable files**



P3. Merging Components

- CNEPS merges components that are cloned from the same project

 (1) Which directory is the component cloned at? (cloned path)
 (2) Who includes this component? (parent component)
 - (3) Is there a duplicated file? (the existence of the same files)

mongo/src/third_party/grpc/dist/src

mongo/src/third_party/**protobuf**





P3. Merging Components

CNEPS merges components that are cloned from the same project

 (1) Which directory is the component cloned at? (cloned path)
 (2) Who includes this component? (parent component)
 (3) Is there a duplicated file? (the existence of the same files)

mongo/src/third_party/grpc/dist/src

mongo/src/third_party/protobuf





P3. Merging Components

- CNEPS merges components that are cloned from the same project
 - (1) Which directory is the component cloned at? (cloned path)
 - (2) Who includes this component? (parent component)
 - (3) Is there a duplicated file? (the existence of the same files)



Graph Output



Evaluation

EVALUATION

Q1. Is really CNEPS performing? (Accuracy of CNEPS)

Dataset

- Top 100 C/C++ open-source software from GitHub
 - Collected based on the number of stargazers

Comparison Target

- Centris (ICSE'21), an approach that detects reused components
- We advanced Centris to detect dependency **between** components

Accuracy of CNEPS

- (*Metric*) Examined *Precision*, *Recall* of the **dependencies**
- CNEPS outperformed existing approach with 89.9% Precision and 93.2% Recall
- Discovered around 2.2 times more correct dependencies

| Approaches | Identified Deps | Precision | Recall |
|------------|-----------------|-----------|--------|
| Centris | 219 | 63.5% | 42.5% |
| CNEPS | 480 | 89.9% | 93.2% |

Accuracy of CNEPS

• (1) Accuracy in identifying component of indistinguishable files

| #identified indist- inguishable files | ТР | FP | Precision |
|--|--------|-------|---------------|
| 34,611 | 31,681 | 2,930 | 91.5 % |

• (2) Accuracy in distinguishing **duplicated components**

| # All Components | #identified Dupl- icated Components | | FP | Precision |
|---------------------|--|----|----|-----------|
| 297 | 40 | 33 | 7 | 82.5% |

Q2. Is really CNEPS useful? (Impact of CNEPS)

Dataset

- Collected 1,000 C/C++ OSS based on stargazers
- Examined the number of dependencies discovered when challenges are dealt

Q2. Is really CNEPS useful? (Impact of CNEPS)

Dataset

- Collected 1,000 C/C++ OSS based on stargazers
- Examined the number of dependencies discovered when challenges are dealt

672 Deps

Non-considered

Q2. Is really CNEPS useful? (Impact of CNEPS)

Dataset

- Collected 1,000 C/C++ OSS based on stargazers
- Examined the number of dependencies discovered when challenges are dealt

861 Deps, +28%



Non-considered

Indistinguishable files

Q2. Is really CNEPS useful? (Impact of CNEPS) Dataset

- Collected 1,000 C/C++ OSS based on stargazers
- Examined the number of dependencies discovered when challenges are dealt



Q2. Is really CNEPS useful? (Impact of CNEPS) Dataset

- Collected 1,000 C/C++ OSS based on stargazers
- Examined the number of dependencies discovered when challenges are dealt



Distribution of dependencies

• CNEPS was able to examine **75%** more dependencies!



Conclusion

- We present CNEPS, a precise approach for dependency analysis with accuracy of **89.9% precision** and **93.2% recall**
- CNEPS was able to examine 75% more dependencies by dealing with indistinguishable files and duplicated components

• Equipped with CNEPS, developers can ...

provide more precise software transparency (e.g., SBOM)
 examine exploitability of vulnerabilities

CNEPS Source code

• CNEPS repository: https://github.com/sodium49/CNEPS-public

Contact

- Email: nooryyaa@korea.ac.kr
- Computer & Communication Security Lab (<u>https://ccs.korea.ac.kr</u>)
- Software Security and Privacy Laboratory (<u>https://ssp.korea.ac.kr</u>)
- Center for Software Security and Assurance (<u>https://cssa.korea.ac.kr</u>)

Appendix – scalability

- Elapsed time lines of code
- Average 8.22s



Appendix – FPs and FNs

- FP: Indistinguishable file problem
- Because we generate module using Name of the function and declaration, sometimes error occurs
- e.g., function with same name (memcpy)
- FP: Determining the exact module when there is a header with the same name as a system library
- #include <string.h>
- FN: multiple header with the same name
- e.g., 10 headers with name <foo.h> within same path distance

Appendix – Advanced Centris

- Implemented with verification method Centris (ICSE'21) used
- In the following example, grpc depends on Protobuf

mongo/src/third_party/**grpc**/dist/src



(1) Internal Reuse Analysis

- Count number of included components
- For example, in *MongoDB*, ares.h module contains...
 - 23 *c*-ares
 - 7 curl
 - 34 indistinguishable files



→ This module is cloned from *c*-ares

• Indistinguishable files are also cloned from *c-ares*

(2) Library reuse analysis

- Examine functions reusing another component by importing header
 - "#include" directive
- For example, in *MongoDB*, a module of a *grpc* was reusing *protobuf*

grpc importing protobuf!

// Generates Objective C gRPC service interface out of Protobuf IDL.

#include <memory>
#include <google/protobuf/compiler/objectivec/objectivec_helpers.h>



Accuracy

- CNEPS outperformed existing approaches with
 - 89.9% Precision
 - 93.2% Recall
- Discovered around 2.2 times more correct dependencies



| Approach | Graph classification* | #Included nodes | #Identified reused files | #Identified dependencies | #TPs | #FPs | #FNs | Precision | Recall |
|----------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|------|------|-----------|--------|
| Centris | Small | 68 | 8,843 | 17 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 64.7% | 100% |
| | Moderate | 21 | 7,741 | 102 | 56 | 46 | 52 | 54.9% | 51.9% |
| | Large | 11 | 23,998 | 226 | 152 | 74 | 244 | 67.3% | 38.4% |
| | Total | 100 | 40,582 | 345 | 219 | 126 | 296 | 63.5% | 42.5% |
| CNEPS | Small | 68 | 18,212 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 100% | 100% |
| | Moderate | 21 | 15,160 | 108 | 106 | 2 | 2 | 98.1% | 98.1% |
| | Large | 11 | 41,821 | 415 | 363 | 52 | 33 | 87.5% | 92.8% |
| | Total | 100 | 75,193 | 534 | 480 | 54 | 35 | 89.9% | 93.2% |

Challenge 1: Indistinguishable files

- Files that are unclear whether reused or not
- Unidentified reused files may lead to unidentified dependencies
 - e.g., single-lined function, implementation of the cryptographic function
 - libcrypto, openssl, openssh, ...



Challenge 1: Indistinguishable files

- Files that are unclear whether reused or not
- Unidentified reused files may lead to unidentified dependencies
 - e.g., single-lined function, implementation of the cryptographic function

